

PHILADELPHIA, PA 191072950

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCUNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCUNITED STATES OF COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO 10/670,854 09/24/2003 25514-B USA 9754 Robert Lauter **EXAMINER** 23307 09/20/2004 SYNNESTVEDT & LECHNER, LLP PHILLIPS, CHARLES E 2600 ARAMARK TOWER ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1101 MARKET STREET

> 3751 DATE MAILED: 09/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	100
Office Action Summary	10/670,854	LAUTER ET AL.	V
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Charles E. Phillips	3751	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this or D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 49	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	alaction requirement		
Olami(s) 1-10 are subject to restriction and/or t	siection requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acco	• • • •		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			ED 1 121(d)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	,	•	• •
•			. •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage
222 2			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D		
information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F		O-152)
A	-, — ··· — ·		

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Claims 1-3, drawn to a sealing system, classified in class 384, subclass
 15.

- II. Claims 4-6, drawn to a sealing system for a spa, classified in class 4, subclass 541.1
- III. Claims 7-10, drawn to a mechanism for raising and lowering a platform, classified in class 248, subclass 124.5.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the rib is not required. The subcombination has separate utility such as absent a spa.

Inventions I and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as absent entertainment equipment. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

A telephone call was made to Mr. Chionchio on 8/31/04 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 10/670,854

Art Unit: 3751

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Charles E.

Phillips at telephone number (703) 308-1515.

Charles E. Phillips
Primary Examiner

Page 3